UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,622	07/05/2007	Lorrene Bayon	979-253 3107	
39600 SOFER & HAR	7590 11/14/200 ROUN LLP.	8	EXAMINER	
317 MADISON AVENUE, SUITE 910 NEW YORK, NY 10017			MOORE, MARGARET G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Occurrence	10/591,622	2	BAYON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Margaret G	. Moore	1796				
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	nication appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum is Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF THI s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever munication. tatutory period will apply and will y will, by statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cation to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 23 October 2008						
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊡ This action is no						
/ _	,						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•						
·	ne application						
·—	Claim(s) 1 to 20 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) 1 to 20 are subjected to	iction and/or election re	aguirement					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	iction and/or election re	quirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	ıe Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internati * See the attached detailed Office activity	documents have been documents have been of the priority documer onal Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Application nts have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	nte				

Art Unit: 1796

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: a material including different filler species as defined throughout various claims. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Page 2

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Again, applicants are requested to elect a single material including a polymer matrix and a *specific filler type*. This is consistent with the election requirement of 9/15/08. In response, applicants elected to prosecute the species as claimed in claims 7 to 10. These claims are not directed to a specific filler type. Note for instance that claim 7 adds a linear filler while claim 9 includes an insulating filler. In addition, since applicants did not elect claim 4, it would appear that applicants intended to elect a zinc oxide filler having no metal oxide trace. Since claim 2 was not withdrawn from consideration, through, this is unclear.

To emphasize, applicants are requested to elect a specific non-linear filler, the presence or absence of a specific filler as a dopant and the presence or the absence of a filler within claim 7 and within claim 9. Applicants' previous election was much broader than a single material including a polymer matrix and a specific filler type.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a

Application/Control Number: 10/591,622

Art Unit: 1796

claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 3

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Margaret G. Moore whose telephone number is 571-272-1090. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Wednesday to Friday, 10am to 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,622 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Margaret G. Moore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

mgm 11/12/08